06/13/07

Remarks

The abstract is amended to overcome the Examiner's objection.

The specification is amended to include section header's to overcome the Examiner's

objection. Applicants note that the headers listed in the M.P.E.P. are not mandatory.

The Examiner objected to the use of the term, "substantially", in the claims. Accordingly, the claims are amended to delete this term. The claims recite that the remote device reproduces a frame up to n times. Normally, this is done to restore the original frame rate. But in some cases a different frame rate, such as a frame rate slightly less than the original frame rate, can be produced by the remote device. Applicants gratefully acknowledge the allowance of the claims,

given the amendments recited herein.

Conclusion:

For these reasons, Applicant believes the application is now in condition for allowance and respectfully requests allowance.

Respectfully Submitted,

Date: 13 TUNE 07

Patrick Stellitano, Reg. No. 42,169 2803 Inridge Dr., Austin, TX 78745

Tel: 512/899-8038, Fax: 512/535-7413